PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:			PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/22	. ·	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo	ACTION			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/000065	International filing date (08.01.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 08.01.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC C12N15/90, C12N15/63, C12N15/63, C12N15/63, C12N15/63, C12N15/63, C12N15/63	or both national classification 5/10, A01K67/00	and IPC				
Applicant ARTEMIS PHARMACEUTICAL	S GMBH					
ARTEMIS PHARMACEUTICALS GMBH This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority (*IPEA*). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						

Name and malling address of the ISA:



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Herrmann, K

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2670



Citations

The documents are numbered as in the International Search Report (ISR), i.e. **D1** and **D6** correspond to the first and the last document of the search report, respectively.

Re ITEM I (Basis of the opinion)

The application as filed contains a sequence listing consisting of 23 pages (9 sequences).

Re ITEM III (Non-establishment of opinion)

- Claims 10 and 13 fail to comply with the requirements of Art. 6 PCT (clarity) to such an extent that a meaningful search and examination could not be carried out (Art. 17(2)(a)(ii) PCT, Rule 66.1(e) PCT, also cf. PCT Guidelines VI-8.4, last sentence). Claim 10 is directed to a product, namely a targeting vector as defined in claims 1-8. Method claim 13 refers to modifying an ES cell as defined in claims 1-9. However, claims 1-9 are directed to a method for generating transgenic eukaryotic cells and not to any products.
- Claims 1-9, 12-14 and 16 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT (in vivo treatment or diagnostic methods practiced on the human or animal body). Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Art. 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re ITEM V (Novelty, inventive step, industrial applicability)

- 1 Novelty and inventive step (Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT)
- 1.1 The subject-matter of <u>claims 1-9, 11, 12 and 14-16</u> does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) and 33(3) PCT.
- 1.2 D1 (WO9953017) and D2 (WO0238613) disclose a method according to

المرايد

independent claim 1. The subject-matter of independent claim 1 can thus not be regarded as novel.

- 1.3 A positive international preliminary examination report (IPER) could only be established if <u>claims 2-9, 11, 12 and 14-16</u> refer to a claim which can be regarded as novel and inventive.
- 1.4 Similar results have been disclosed in D3 (WO02098217), D4 (Dacquin et al.) and D5 (Moses et al.) which therefore also deprive novelty of claims 1-9, 11, 12 and 14-16 (Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 1.5 It should be noted that expressions like "preferably" (e.g. <u>claim 3</u>) have no limiting effect on the scope of a claim; that is to say the feature following any such expression is to be regarded as entirely optional (PCT Guidelines III-4.6).
- 1.6 The terms "DNA sequence which can be converted into..." (e.g. claim 1), "homologous" (e.g. claim 6) and "derived from" (e.g. claim 6) are vague and further jeapordize the novelty of said claims.
- 2 Industrial application (Art. 33(4) PCT)

Claims 11 and 15 meet the criteria as set forth by Art. 33(4) PCT.

Re ITEM VI Certain

٠. }

Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

Application No Publication date Filing date Priority date (valid claim)
Patent No (day/month/year) (day/month/year) (day/month/year)

WO03020743 13.03.03 05.09.02 05.09.01

Said document has been published after but filed before the claimed priority date of the present application and does therefore not constitute prior art in the meaning of Rule 64(1)(b) PCT. It will, however, become of relevance for the novelty of the claimed subject-matter during regional phase examination.

Re ITEM VIII

...(j)

(Certain observations on the international application)

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 12, 14 and 16 also encompass human embryonic stem cells or the use thereof. This subject-matter may be contrary to morality in certain PCT member states (cf. e.g. Art. 53(a) of the EPC).

<u>Claim 15</u> also encompasses transgenic human beings. This subject-matter is contrary to morality in certain PCT member states (cf. e.g. Art. 53(a) of the EPC).

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000065

_			•		
_	Box	K N	o. I Basis of the oplnion		
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
			nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With nece	n re ess	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. ty	φe	of material:		
	Σ	3	a sequence listing		
	, С	כ	table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. format of material:				
	×	3	in written format		
	×	3	in computer readable form		
	c. tin	ne	of filing/furnishing:		
	×	3	contained in the international application as filed.		
)	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
	Ø		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	(cop	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.		
1.	Additional comments:				
	5	see	e separate sheet		

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000065

_	Во	x No. II	Priority	
1.	\boxtimes	The fol	llowing document has not been furnished:	
		⋈	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).	
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).	
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2.		This op	sinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international atteindicated above is considered to be the relevant date.	
3. ,	3. Additional observations, if necessary:			

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000065

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
T ol	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
☒					
because:					
⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-9, 12-14 and 16 with respect to industrial application relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
,	see separate sheet				
⊠	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 10 and 13 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
	see separate sheet				
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.				
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
	the written form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
			does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	otide : equir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ds ·		

. .

International application No. PCT/EP2004/000065

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

lo: Claims

1-9, 11, 12, 14-16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-9, 11, 12, 14-16

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

11, 15

.

800 separate sheet

2. Citations and explanations

Вол No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and / or

.)

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

500 form 210

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

\$69 separate sheet